

Tutorial sheet 10

Discussion topic: Markov processes; Fokker–Planck equation

24. Another view of the Fokker–Planck equation in one dimension

Consider an arbitrary one-dimensional Markovian process $X(t)$, taking its values in a real interval $[a, b]$, and such that the corresponding first two coefficients $\mathcal{M}_1(t, x)$, $\mathcal{M}_2(t, x)$ in the Kramers–Moyal expansion are actually independent of time.

i. Stationary solutions

Recall the form of the Fokker–Planck equation. Assuming that there is no flow of probability across the boundaries $x = a$ and $x = b$ (“reflecting boundary conditions”), write down the differential equation obeyed by the stationary solution $p_{X,1}^{\text{st.}}(x)$ to the Fokker–Planck equation. Show that

$$p_{X,1}^{\text{st.}}(x) = \frac{C}{\mathcal{M}_2(x)} \exp \left[2 \int_a^x \frac{\mathcal{M}_1(x')}{\mathcal{M}_2(x')} dx' \right], \quad (1)$$

where C is a constant which need not be computed. Why is this solution unique?

ii. Transforming the Fokker–Planck equation

Assume now that \mathcal{M}_2 is actually constant. Let $V(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{M}_1(x)]^2 + \frac{\mathcal{M}_2}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{M}_1(x)}{dx}$.

Perform the change of unknown function $p_{X,1}(t, x) = [p_{X,1}^{\text{st.}}(x)]^{1/2} \psi(t, x)$ in the Fokker–Planck equation, where $p_{X,1}^{\text{st.}}(x)$ is the stationary solution (1), and deduce the equation obeyed by $\psi(t, x)$. What do you recognize?

In the new language you just found, to which known problem is that of the Fokker–Planck equation for the Langevin model [$\mathcal{M}_1(x) = \gamma x$, $\mathcal{M}_2 = D$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$] equivalent?

25. Master equation for Markov processes

The purpose of this exercise is to derive a linear integrodifferential equation—equivalent to the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation—for the transition probability and the single-time density of an (almost) arbitrary *homogeneous* Markov process $Y(t)$, i.e. a process for which the probability transition $p_{Y,1|1}(t_2, y_2 | t_1, y_1)$ only depends on the time difference $\tau \equiv t_2 - t_1$. In analogy with stationary processes, the latter will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1)$.

We assume that for time differences τ much smaller than some time scale τ_c , the transition probability is of the form

$$\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1) = [1 - \gamma(y_1)\tau] \delta(y_2 - y_1) + \Gamma(y_2 | y_1)\tau + o(\tau), \quad (2a)$$

where $o(\tau)$ denotes a term which is much smaller than τ in the limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$. The nonnegative quantity $\Gamma(y_2 | y_1)$ is the transition rate from y_1 to y_2 , and $\gamma(y_1)$ is its integral over y_2

$$\gamma(y_1) = \int \Gamma(y_2 | y_1) dy_2. \quad (2b)$$

i. Compute the integral of the transition probability $\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1)$ over final states y_2 .

ii. Master equation

Starting from the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation

$$\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau+\tau'}(y_3 | y_1) = \int \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau'}(y_3 | y_2) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1) dy_2,$$

and assuming that $\tau' \ll \tau_c$ —note that no assumption on τ is needed—, show that after leaving aside a

negligible term, one obtains

$$\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau+\tau'}(y_3 | y_1) = [1 - \gamma(y_3) \tau'] \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_3 | y_1) + \tau' \int \Gamma(y_3 | y_2) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1) dy_2,$$

Check that this leads in the limit $\tau' \rightarrow 0$ to the integrodifferential equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_3 | y_1)}{\partial \tau} = -\gamma(y_3) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_3 | y_1) + \int \Gamma(y_3 | y_2) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y_2 | y_1) dy_2,$$

and eventually, after invoking Eq. (2b) and relabeling the variables, to the *master equation*

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y | y_0)}{\partial \tau} = \int [\Gamma(y | y') \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y' | y_0) - \Gamma(y' | y) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y | y_0)] dy'. \quad (3)$$

Note that this evolution equation has the structure of a balance equation, with a gain term, involving the rate $\Gamma(y | y')$, and a loss term depending on the rate $\Gamma(y' | y)$.

iii. Evolution equation for the single-time probability density

Starting from the consistency condition

$$p_{Y,1}(\tau, y) = \int \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y | y_0) p_{Y,1}(t=0, y_0) dy_0, \quad (4)$$

show that the above master equation leads to

$$\frac{\partial p_{Y,1}(\tau, y)}{\partial \tau} = \int [\Gamma(y | y') \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y' | y_0) - \Gamma(y' | y) \mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}(y | y_0)] p_{Y,1}(t=0, y_0) dy_0 dy'.$$

Check that this leads to the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial p_{Y,1}(\tau, y)}{\partial \tau} = \int [\Gamma(y | y') p_{Y,1}(\tau, y') - \Gamma(y' | y) p_{Y,1}(\tau, y)] dy', \quad (5)$$

which is formally identical to the master equation for $\mathcal{T}_{Y;\tau}$. How can you interpret this equation?