## Tutorial sheet 11

The exercise marked with a star is homework.

## **Discussion topics:**

- Convective heat transfer: what is the Rayleigh–Bénard convection? Describe its phenomenology. Which effects play a role?

- What are the fundamental equations of the dynamics of a relativistic fluid? What is the relation between the energy-momentum tensor of a perfect relativistic fluid and its internal energy, pressure, and four-velocity? How is the latter defined?

## \*28. (1+1)-dimensional relativistic motion

Consider a (1+1)-dimensional relativistic motion along a direction denoted as z, where the denomination "1+1" stands for one time and one spatial dimension. Throughout the exercise, the other two spatial directions play no role and the corresponding variables x, y are totally omitted. In addition, we use a system of units in which the speed of light in vacuum c equals 1, as well as Einstein's summation convention over repeated indices.

To describe the physics, one may naturally use Minkowski coordinates  $(x^0, x^3) = (t, z)$ , with corresponding derivatives  $(\partial_0, \partial_3) = (\partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial z)$ . If there is a high-velocity motion in the z-direction, a better choice might be to use the proper time  $\tau$  and spatial rapidity  $\varsigma$  such that<sup>1</sup>

$$x^{0'} \equiv \tau \equiv \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}, \quad x^{3'} \equiv \varsigma \equiv \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t+z}{t-z} \quad \text{where } |z| \le t.$$
 (1)

The partial derivatives with respect to these new coordinates will be denoted  $(\partial_{0'}, \partial_{3'}) = (\partial/\partial \tau, \partial/\partial \varsigma)$ .

i. Check that the relations defining  $\tau$  and  $\varsigma$  can be inverted, yielding the much simpler

$$t = \tau \cosh\varsigma, \quad z = \tau \sinh\varsigma. \tag{2}$$

(*Hint:* Recognize  $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+u}{1-u}$ ).

ii. In a change of coordinates  $\{x^{\mu}\} \to \{x^{\mu'}\}$ , the contravariant components  $V^{\mu}$  of a 4-vector transform according to  $V^{\mu} \to V^{\mu'} = \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\ \nu} V^{\nu}$  (with summation over  $\nu$ !) where  $\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\ \nu} \equiv \partial x^{\mu'} / \partial x^{\nu}$ . Compute first from Eq. (2) the matrix elements  $\Lambda^{\nu}_{\ \mu'} \equiv \partial x^{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu'}$  (with  $\nu \in \{0,3\}, \ \mu' \in \{0',3'\}$ )

Compute first from Eq. (2) the matrix elements  $\Lambda^{\nu}{}_{\mu'} \equiv \partial x^{\nu}/\partial x^{\mu'}$  (with  $\nu \in \{0,3\}, \mu' \in \{0',3'\}$ ) of the inverse transformation  $\{V^{\mu'}\} \to \{V^{\mu}\}$ . Inverting the 2 × 2-matrix you thus found, deduce the following relationship between the components of the 4-vector in the two coordinate systems

$$\begin{cases} V^{0'} = \cosh \varsigma \, V^0 - \sinh \varsigma \, V^3 \\ V^{3'} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \sinh \varsigma \, V^0 + \frac{1}{\tau} \cosh \varsigma \, V^3. \end{cases}$$
(3)

iii. Using the relation  $\partial_{\nu} = \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu} \partial_{\mu'}$  and the matrix elements  $\{\Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu}\}$  you found in ii.—and which can be read off Eq. (3)—, express the "4-divergence"  $\partial_{\nu}V^{\nu}$  of a 4-vector field  $V^{\nu}$  in terms of the partial derivatives  $\partial_{\mu'}$  and the components  $V^{\mu'}$  in the  $(\tau, \varsigma)$ -system.

You should find a result that does not equal  $\partial_{\mu'}V^{\mu'} = \partial_{\tau}V^{\tau} + \partial_{\varsigma}V^{\varsigma}$ , which is why in the lecture notes the notation  $d_{\mu'}V^{\mu'}$  is used for the 4-divergence in an arbitrary coordinate system.

iv. Draw on a spacetime diagram—with t on the vertical axis and z on the horizontal axis—the lines of constant  $\tau$  and those of constant  $\varsigma$ .

**Remark:** The coordinates  $(\tau, \varsigma)$  are sometimes called *Milne coordinates*.

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>varsigma =$  varsigma is the word-final form for the lower case sigma, not to be confused with  $\zeta$  (zeta).

## 29. Quantum number conservation

Consider a 4-current with components  $N^{\mu}(\mathsf{x})$  obeying the continuity equation  $\partial_{\mu}N^{\mu}(\mathsf{x}) = 0$ , where the  $\{x^{\mu}\}$  hidden in the notation  $\partial_{\mu}$  are Minkowski coordinates. Show that the quantity

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{c} \int N^0(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{r}$$

is a Lorentz scalar, by convincing yourself first that it can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{c} \int_{x^0 = \text{const.}} N^{\mu}(\mathsf{x}) \,\mathrm{d}^3 \sigma_{\mu},\tag{4}$$

where  $d^3\sigma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} d^3 \mathcal{V}^{\nu\rho\sigma}$  is a 4-vector, with  $d^3 \mathcal{V}^{\nu\rho\sigma}$  the antisymmetric 4-tensor defined by

$$d^{3} \mathcal{V}^{012} = dx^{0} dx^{1} dx^{2}, \quad d^{3} \mathcal{V}^{021} = -dx^{0} dx^{2} dx^{1}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

and  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  the totally antisymmetric Levi–Civita symbol with the convention  $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$ , such that  $d^3 \mathcal{V}^{\nu\rho\sigma}$  represents the 3-dimensional hypersurface element in Minkowski space.