

### X.4.5 Second order dissipative relativistic fluid dynamics

To remedy the instability of the usual Landau–Lifshitz or Eckart formulations of first-order dissipative relativistic fluid dynamics—which is especially a problem for numerical implementations, in which rounding errors will quickly propagate if the theory is unstable—, theories going beyond a first-order expansion in gradients were developed.

Coming back to an arbitrary 4-velocity  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ , the components of the entropy 4-current  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$  in a first-order dissipative theory read

$$S^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})g^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) - T^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})}u_\nu(\mathbf{x}) - \sum \frac{\mu_a(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})}N_a^\mu(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\text{X.52a})$$

or equivalently

$$S^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = s(\mathbf{x})u^\mu(\mathbf{x}) - \sum \frac{\mu_a(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})}\nu_a^\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{x})}q^\mu(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{X.52b})$$

which reduces to the expression between square brackets on the left hand side of Eq. (X.49b) with Landau’s choice of 4-velocity.

This entropy 4-current is *linear* in the dissipative 4-currents  $\nu(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ . In addition, it is independent of the velocity 3-gradients—encoded in the expansion rate  $\nabla(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$  and the rate-of-shear tensor  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$ —, which play a decisive role in dissipation. That is, the form (X.52) can be generalized. A more general form for the entropy 4-current is thus

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) = s(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\mu_N(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})}\nu(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\text{X.53a})$$

or equivalently, component-wise,

$$S^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = s(\mathbf{x})u^\mu(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\mu_N(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})}\nu^\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{x})}q^\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{x})}Q^\mu(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\text{X.53b})$$

with  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$  a 4-vector, with components  $Q^\mu(\mathbf{x})$ , that depends on the flow 4-velocity and its gradients—where  $\nabla(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$  are traditionally replaced by  $\Pi(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x})$ —and on the dissipative currents:

$$Q^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = Q^\mu(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}), \Pi(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x})). \quad (\text{X.53c})$$

In second order dissipative relativistic fluid dynamics with for simplicity a single conserved charge, the most general form for the additional 4-vector  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$  contributing to the entropy density is [49, 50, 51]

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta_0(\mathbf{x})\Pi(\mathbf{x})^2 + \beta_1(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x})^2 + \beta_2(\mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x}) : \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x})}{2T(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\alpha_0(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})} \Pi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\alpha_1(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})} \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x}), \quad (\text{X.54a})$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})}{n(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x});$$

component-wise, this reads

$$Q^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta_0(\mathbf{x})\Pi(\mathbf{x})^2 + \beta_1(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x})^2 + \beta_2(\mathbf{x})\pi_{\nu\rho}(\mathbf{x})\pi^{\nu\rho}(\mathbf{x})}{2T(\mathbf{x})} u^\mu(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\alpha_0(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})} \Pi(\mathbf{x}) q_N^\mu(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\alpha_1(\mathbf{x})}{T(\mathbf{x})} \pi^\mu{}_\rho(\mathbf{x}) q_N^\rho(\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{X.54b})$$

The 4-vector  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$  is now quadratic (“of second order”) in the dissipative currents—in the wider sense— $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\Pi(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x})$ , and involves 5 additional coefficients depending on temperature and particle-number density,  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\beta_2$ .

Substituting this form of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$  in the entropy 4-current (X.53), the simplest way to ensure that its 4-divergence should be positive is to postulate *linear* relationships between the dissipative currents and the gradients of velocity, chemical potential (or rather of  $-\mu/T$ ), and temperature (or rather,  $1/T$ ), as was done in Eqs. (X.50). This recipe yields differential equations for  $\Pi(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_N(\mathbf{x})$ , representing 9 coupled scalar equations of motion. These describe the relaxation—with appropriate characteristic time scales  $\tau_\Pi$ ,  $\tau_\pi$ ,  $\tau_{q_N}$  respectively proportional to  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_1$ , while the involved “time derivative” is that in the local rest frame,  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{d}$ —of the dissipative currents towards their first-order expressions (X.50).

Adding up the new equations to the usual ones (X.2) and (X.7), the resulting set of equations, known as (Müller<sup>(bd)</sup>–)Israel<sup>(be)</sup>–Stewart<sup>(bf)</sup> theory, is no longer plagued by the issues that affects the relativistic Navier–Stokes–Fourier equations.

## Bibliography for Chapter X

- Andersson & Comer [52];
- Landau–Lifshitz [4, 5], Chapter XV, § 133,134 (perfect fluid) and § 136 (dissipative fluid);
- Romatschke [53];
- Weinberg [54], Chapter 2, § 10 (perfect fluid) and § 11 (dissipative fluid).

<sup>(bd)</sup>I. MÜLLER, born 1936    <sup>(be)</sup>W. ISRAEL, 1931–2022    <sup>(bf)</sup>J. M. STEWART, 1943–2016