

Tutorial sheet 10

Discussion topic: Flows at low Reynolds number. For a practical application, you may also read E. M. Purcell's article on Life at low Reynolds number and discover the "scallop theorem".

20. Equations of fluid dynamics in a uniformly rotating reference frame

For the study of various physical problems — see examples in question **iv.a**) —, it may be more convenient to study the dynamics of a fluid from the viewpoint of an observer in a reference frame \mathcal{R}_{Ω_0} in uniform rotation with angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}_0$ with respect to an inertial frame \mathcal{R}_0 .

In exercise **13**, you already investigated hydrostatics in a rotating reference frame: in that case only the centrifugal acceleration plays a role, which can be entirely recast as the effect of a potential energy $\Phi_{\text{cen.}}(\vec{r}) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(\vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{r})^2$ leading to the centrifugal inertial force density $\vec{f}_{\text{cen.}} = -\rho \vec{\nabla} \Phi_{\text{cen.}}$. The purpose of this exercise is to generalize that result to the derivation of (some of) the equations governing a flowing Newtonian fluid.

i. Kinematics

Recall the expressions of the centrifugal and Coriolis accelerations acting on a small fluid element in terms of its position vector \vec{r} and velocity \vec{v} (measured in \mathcal{R}_{Ω_0}) and of the angular velocity.

ii. Incompressibility condition

Writing down the relation between the velocity \vec{v} with respect to \mathcal{R}_{Ω_0} and that measured in \mathcal{R}_0 , show that the incompressibility condition valid in the inertial frame leads to $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

iii. Navier–Stokes equation

Show that the incompressible Navier–Stokes equation from the point of view of an observer at rest in the rotating reference frame \mathcal{R}_{Ω_0} reads (the variables are omitted)

$$\frac{D\vec{v}}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{P}_{\text{eff.}} + \nu \Delta \vec{v} - 2\vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{v} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eff.}} = \mathcal{P} + \rho(\Phi + \Phi_{\text{cen.}})$, with Φ the potential energy from which (non-inertial) volume forces acting on the fluid derive. Check that you recover the equation of hydrostatics found in exercise **13**.

iv. Dimensionless numbers and limiting cases

a) Let L_c resp. v_c denote a characteristic length resp. velocity for a given flow. The Ekman and Rossby numbers are respectively defined as

$$\text{Ek} \equiv \frac{\nu}{|\Omega_0| L_c^2} \quad , \quad \text{Ro} \equiv \frac{v_c}{|\Omega_0| L_c}.$$

Compute Ek and Ro in a few numerical examples:

- $L_c \approx 100$ km, $v_c \approx 10$ m · s⁻¹, $\Omega_0 \approx 10^{-4}$ rad · s⁻¹, $\nu \approx 10^{-5}$ m² · s⁻¹ (wind in the Earth atmosphere);
- $L_c \approx 1000$ km, $v_c \approx 0.1$ m · s⁻¹, $\Omega_0 \approx 10^{-4}$ rad · s⁻¹, $\nu \approx 10^{-6}$ m² · s⁻¹ (ocean stream);
- $L_c \approx 10$ cm, $v_c \approx 1$ m · s⁻¹, $\Omega_0 \approx 10$ rad · s⁻¹, $\nu \approx 10^{-6}$ m² · s⁻¹ (coffee/tea in your cup).

b) Assuming stationarity, which term in Eq. (1) is negligible (against which) at small Ekman number? at small Rossby number?

Write down the simplified equation of motion valid when both $\text{Ek} \ll 1$ and $\text{Ro} \ll 1$ (to which of the above examples does this correspond?). How do the (effective) pressure gradient $\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{P}_{\text{eff.}}$ and flow velocity stand relative to each other?