

## Tutorial sheet 6

### 12. A toy model of multiparticle correlations and cumulants

The purpose of this exercise is to illustrate the meaning of the cumulants of multiparticle azimuthal averages on a simple example of particle emission with two- or three-particle correlations. Throughout the exercise,  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes an average over particles and events (in two successive steps).

#### i. Two-particle correlations

Consider first a set of events, such that in each event exactly  $M$  particles are emitted as follows: an angle  $\Psi_R$  and  $M/2$  other angles  $\phi_j$  are chosen randomly with an isotropic distribution. Then for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M/2$ , two particles (labeled  $j$  and  $M/2 + j$ ) are emitted with the same azimuth  $\varphi_j = \varphi_{M/2+j} = \phi_j$ .

a) What is the value of the anisotropic flow coefficients  $v_n = \langle e^{in(\varphi_j - \Psi_R)} \rangle$  (for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ )? Same question for the single- and two-particle averages  $\langle e^{in\varphi_j} \rangle$  and  $\langle e^{in(\varphi_j + \varphi_k)} \rangle$  with  $j \neq k$ .

*Hint:* No explicit calculation is needed!

b) Compute for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the two-particle average  $\langle e^{in(\varphi_j - \varphi_k)} \rangle$  with  $j \neq k$ . (*Hint:* You may want to distinguish the two cases  $|j - k| \neq M/2$  and  $|j - k| = M/2$  and count how often each of these two possibilities occur in a given event).

Define the two-particle azimuthal cumulant as  $c_n\{2\} \equiv \langle e^{in(\varphi_j - \varphi_k)} \rangle - \langle e^{in\varphi_j} \rangle \langle e^{-in\varphi_k} \rangle$  and a flow estimate  $v_n\{2\}$  by  $v_n\{2\}^2 \equiv c_n\{2\}$ . What do you find for  $v_n\{2\}$ ?

c) Compute for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the four-particle average  $\langle e^{in(\varphi_j + \varphi_k - \varphi_l + \varphi_p)} \rangle$  where the four particles are all different. Define the four-particle azimuthal cumulant as

$$c_n\{4\} \equiv \langle e^{in(\varphi_j + \varphi_k - \varphi_l - \varphi_p)} \rangle - \langle e^{in(\varphi_j - \varphi_l)} \rangle \langle e^{-in(\varphi_k - \varphi_p)} \rangle - \langle e^{in(\varphi_j - \varphi_p)} \rangle \langle e^{-in(\varphi_k - \varphi_l)} \rangle$$

and a flow estimate  $v_n\{4\}$  by  $v_n\{4\}^4 \equiv -c_n\{4\}$ . What do you find for  $v_n\{4\}$ ? Can you justify the definition of  $c_n\{4\}$ ? (why are there no extra terms?)

#### ii. Three-particle correlations

(optional: estimate first if you will be able to solve the following in a quarter of hour or less)

Assume now that each event consists of  $M/3$  isotropically distributed triplets of particles with the same azimuth  $\varphi_j = \varphi_{M/3+j} = \varphi_{2M/3+j} = \phi_j$  — generalizing the setup of question i..

Repeat the calculations of questions i.b) and i.c) for the new setup.

### 13. Momentum conservation

Consider  $M$  particles such that the sum of their (transverse) momenta vanishes:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{p}_M = \mathbf{0}. \tag{1}$$

For simplicity, we assume that all momenta have the same modulus:  $|\mathbf{p}_1| = \dots = |\mathbf{p}_M|$ .

Let  $\varphi_j$  denote the azimuthal angle of  $\mathbf{p}_j$  with respect to some fixed direction. Assuming that the azimuths are isotropically distributed — which somehow implies  $M \gg 1$  to make sense — leads automatically to  $\langle \cos \varphi_j \rangle = 0$ . Nevertheless, and possibly contrary to your intuition,  $\langle \cos(\varphi_j - \varphi_k) \rangle$  with  $j \neq k$  is non-zero. More precisely, show that Eq. (1) implies

$$\langle \cos(\varphi_j - \varphi_k) \rangle \underset{M \gg 1}{\simeq} -\frac{1}{M} \tag{2}$$

and give a one-sentence physical interpretation of that result.

*Hint:* You may want to square Eq. (1).