

5 Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields and particles

[Weinberg 2.4, 2.7]

[Peskin, Schroeder 3.1, 3.2]

We already know different types of fields transforming differently under Lorentz transformations (LT):

$$\varphi(x) \mapsto \varphi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \quad \text{scalar field}$$

$$A^\mu(x) \mapsto \Lambda^\mu_\nu A^\nu(\Lambda^{-1}x) \quad (\text{four-}) \text{vector field}$$

Furthermore we have seen that quantization of scalar fields gives scalar (= spin 0) particles.

Both φ and A transform linearly under LT, like

$$\Phi(x) \mapsto D(\Lambda) \Phi(\Lambda^{-1}x), \quad \text{where the}$$

matrices D are linear representations of the Lorentz group,
i.e. they satisfy

$$(*) \quad D(\Lambda_1)D(\Lambda_2) = D(\Lambda_1\Lambda_2), \quad D(\Lambda^{-1}) = (D(\Lambda))^{-1}, \quad D(1) = \mathbb{1}$$

Expectation: quantizing spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields gives spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles.

Exercise: Show that the representation D of the Lorentz group is irreducible.

Solution: Use the fact that the Lorentz group is compact and connected.

5.1 Spinors

Before getting to the Lorentz group, consider again the rotation group $SO(3)$.

A representation of (X) is given by $\frac{\sigma^i}{2}$ with the Pauli matrices σ^i :

$$\left[\frac{\sigma^i}{2}, \frac{\sigma^j}{2} \right] = i \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\sigma^k}{2}$$

Exponentiation gives the so-called Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of $SO(3)$:

$$D(\theta) = e^{-i \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2}$$

The 2-component objects transforming under this rep.

$$\psi \rightarrow D(\theta) \psi$$

are called 2-spinors.

example: wave function of a Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in non-relativistic QM

This is not a representation in the strict sense:

a rotation by 2π around the x^3 axis is represented by

$$\exp\left\{i2\pi \frac{\sigma^3}{2}\right\} = \exp\left(i\pi \sigma^3\right) = -1$$

It is called a representation up to a phase or projective representation.

Generalization to the Lorentz group:

6 generators $J^{\mu\nu}$. Lie algebra (see problem sheet 4)

$$(*) \quad [J^\mu, J^\nu] = i[\gamma^\rho J^{\mu\nu} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)$$

If one has matrices γ^μ which satisfy

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1} \quad \text{Clifford algebra}$$

then

$$S^{\mu\nu} := \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$$

is a representation of $(*)$.

proof: problem sheet 11.

Usually one writes

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$$

One can show that the reps. of the Clifford algebra are at least 4-dimensional.

explicit representations:

$$(i) \gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^m \\ \bar{\sigma}^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \sigma^0 = \bar{\sigma}^0 = 1\!\!1, \quad \bar{\sigma}^m = -\sigma^m$$

Weyl rep.

$$(ii) \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\!\!1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\!\!1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma^m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^m \\ -\sigma^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Dirac rep.

using $\{\sigma^m, \sigma^n\} = 2\delta^{mn}1\!\!1$ one can easily check that (i) and (ii) satisfy (x).

$$(iii) \boxed{D(\omega) = \exp\{-i\omega_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}\}}$$

4-component objects transforming like $\psi \rightarrow D\psi$ are called Dirac spinors.

in the Weyl rep:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^m \\ \bar{\sigma}^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^v \\ \bar{\sigma}^v & 0 \end{pmatrix} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^v - \sigma^v \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^v - \bar{\sigma}^v \sigma^\mu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is block-diagonal. If we write

$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, then the 2-component spinors x, y transform independently under $SU(1,3)$
[our representation of $SU(1,3)$ is reducible]

x, y are called Weyl spinors.

under rotations ($\omega_{0\mu} = 0$) x and y transform in the same way, like 3-spinors.