

1.5 Complex Klein-Gordon field

Consider a complex scalar field φ , $\varphi \neq \varphi^*$ with

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \varphi^* \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi$$

equations of motion

$$0 = \delta S = \int d^4x \left\{ (\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^*) \partial^\mu \varphi - m^2 (\delta \varphi^*) \varphi + \text{c.c.} \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \left\{ -\delta \varphi^* [\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2] \varphi - \delta \varphi [\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2] \varphi^* \right\}$$

↑
complex conjugate

Naively treating the variations $\delta \varphi^*$ and $\delta \varphi$ as independent we would obtain

$$(\partial^2 + m^2) \varphi = 0$$

(complex) Klein-Gordon eq.

Of course they are not independent, but the result

is nevertheless correct: [Coleman QFT lectures]

We have

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \left\{ \delta \varphi^* A + \delta \varphi A^* \right\}$$

We can make purely real variations, so that $\delta \varphi = \delta \varphi^*$ or purely imaginary ones, so that $\delta \varphi = -\delta \varphi^*$.

The first gives $A + A^* = 0$ and the second $A - A^* = 0 \Rightarrow A = A^* = 0 \quad \square$

\mathcal{L} is invariant under

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &\mapsto e^{ie} \varphi & \delta_e \varphi = ie\varphi, \quad X = i\varphi \\ \varphi^* &\mapsto e^{-ie} \varphi^* & \delta_e \varphi^* = -ie\varphi^*, \quad X^* = -i\varphi^*\end{aligned}$$

This type of symmetry is not related to space-time transformations and is called an inner symmetry. According to Noether's theorem this implies a conserved current.

For several fields, the Noether current is

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \varphi_a} X_a + K^\mu$$

Here we have contributions from $\varphi_a = \varphi, \varphi^*$.

Furthermore, $\delta_e \mathcal{L} = 0 \Rightarrow K^\mu = 0$, and

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \varphi} X + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \varphi^*} X^* = \partial^\mu \varphi^* i\varphi + \partial^\mu \varphi (-i\varphi^*)$$

$$\left. j^\mu = -i\varphi^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \varphi \right) \quad \text{with } A \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu B := A \partial^\mu B - (\partial^\mu A) B$$

Conserved charge:

$$\int d^3x j^0 = -i \int d^3x \varphi^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_5 \varphi$$

When we quantize this theory we will see that Q counts the total electric charge of the particles in the system.

1.6 Poisson brackets, symmetry generators

Before moving on to quantisation, recall a useful way of writing Hamiltonian mechanics, which is in terms of Poisson brackets. These relations take exactly the same form in QM if one replaces the Poisson bracket by $(-i)$ times the commutator.

In mechanics, the Poisson bracket of two functions $f(t, p, q)$ and $g(t, p, q)$ (with $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$) is defined as

$$\{f, g\}_P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}$$

Properties: $\{f, g\}_P = -\{g, f\}_P$, $\{p_i, p_j\} = \{q_i, q_j\} = 0$

$$\{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$$

time evolution of f :

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \{f, H\}_P + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$

All these relations can be generalised to field theory by replacing $i \rightarrow (\vec{x}, a) \sum_i \rightarrow \int d^3x \sum_a$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \rightarrow \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_a(\vec{x})}, \dots$

For simplicity we keep the mechanics notation in this section.

The following observation will be very useful in QFT:

The Noether charge

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \cdot \dot{x}_i - K = p_i \dot{x}_i - K$$

of the symmetry transformation $\delta_\epsilon q_i = \epsilon \dot{x}_i$

is the generator of this transformation in the sense that

$$\dot{x}_i = -\{\mathcal{J}, q_i\}_P$$

This is easy to see if Q and K do not depend on p :

$$\text{Use } \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = p_i \Rightarrow -\{\mathcal{J}, q_i\}_P = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}(p_j \dot{x}_j - K) = \dot{x}_i \quad \underline{\text{ok.}}$$

However, this need not be the case, like for example

for $q_i(t) \mapsto q_i(t + \epsilon)$ where $\dot{x}_i = \dot{q}_i$.

For the general case we have to re-do the derivation of Noether's theorem in terms of p & q by considering [R. Barnich]

$$L_H = p_i \dot{q}_i + H(p, q)$$

and the

$$\text{transformation } \delta_\epsilon q_i = \epsilon \dot{x}_i, \quad \delta_\epsilon p_i = \epsilon \dot{\xi}_i$$

One can always assume that x & ξ do not depend on time derivatives.

[Using the EOM one can replace, e.g., \dot{q} by $\{q, H\}_P$]

$$\delta_{\epsilon} L_H = \epsilon \left(\dot{\xi}_i q_i + p_i \dot{x}_i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \dot{\xi}_i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \dot{x}_i \right) \\ = \frac{d}{dt} (p_i \dot{x}_i) - \dot{p}_i x_i$$

This is a symmetry of

$$\delta_{\epsilon} L_H = \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} K$$

K cannot contain time derivatives because the LHS contains only first derivatives.

$$\dot{\xi}_i q_i - \dot{p}_i x_i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \dot{\xi}_i - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \dot{x}_i = \frac{d}{dt} (K - p_i x_i)$$

Identify the terms proportional to derivatives \dot{q}_i, \dot{p}_i

\Rightarrow

$$\dot{\xi}_i = - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}, \quad \dot{x}_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}$$

Thus we have

$$\boxed{\dot{\xi}_i = -\{f, p_i\}_P, \quad \dot{x}_i = -\{f, q_i\}_P}$$

□